

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY BOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/777,325	02/06/2001	Toshihisa Suzuki	P/2007-79	2906
7	590 07/10/2003			
Steven I Weisburd Esq Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER	
			CAO, ALLEN T	
41st Florr New York, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, = 1 = 1 = 4 = 1 , 4 1 =			2652	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applica	nt(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/777,325	SUZUKI	ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	*/			
		Allen T Cao	2652				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availated after SIX (6) MONTHS from the lifthe period for reply specified at If NO period for reply is specified. - Failure to reply within the set or a specified.	TORY PERIOD FOR REPL THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. nailing date of this communication. we is less than thirty (30) days, a rep above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statut ater than three months after the mailin See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe by within the statutory min will apply and will expire e, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be consist (6) MONTHS from the mailing of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.O	sidered timely. date of this communication. J. § 133).			
	nmunication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	June 2003 .					
2a) This action is FIN	· ·	nis action is non-fi	nal.				
· —	<i>,</i> —			n as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
·	o ponding in the application	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,4 and 6-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (I Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 4 & 5 . 6)	Interview Summary (PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent App Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office A	ction Summary	Part of Pa	iper No. 9			

Application/Control Number: 09/777,325

Art Unit: 2652

- Claims 2, 4 and 6-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37
 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gill (US. 6,567,244 B1) in view of Kasahara et al (US. 6,583,967 B2).

Gill discloses a pair of magnetic tunnel junction structures (figure 11 and column 5, lines 30-32).

Gill does not disclose the structure relationship of a barrier film, lower and upper magnetic layer, a conductive layer, a substrate and an upper electrode layer as recited in claim 1.

Kasahara et al disclose a magnetic tunnel junction structure having a barrier film 4 between a lower magnetic layer 3 and upper magnetic layer 5, on a conductive layer 2 (lower electrode is a conductive layer) provided continuously on a substrate 1, and respectively and independently forming an upper electrode 12 on each upper magnetic layer of the magnetic tunnel junction structure.

Regarding claim 5, Kasahara et al also disclose that the magnetic tunnel junction is formed by ion beam etching on the conductive layer (column 6, lines 49-54).

Application/Control Number: 09/777,325

Page 3

Art Unit: 2652

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify/replace the magnetic tunnel junction of Gill with such combined structural

of the magnetic tunnel junction as set forth, supra as taught by Kasahara et al to improve the

outputs of the head in order to improve the read/write characteristics of the head.

4. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Allen Cao whose telephone number is (703) 305-3796.

Allen Cao

Primary Examiner

Menter

AC

July 3, 2003